WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		01.2.		
Gustavo Ortega-Rivas		_ Case Number:	08-6300M-003	
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. as represented by counsel. I conclude be defendant pending trial in this case	by a preponderance of the ev	g was held on October 15, 2008. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	e United States or lawfully adr	nitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the ch	of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximun	n ofy	ears imprisonment.	
The Co at the time of th	ne hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Se oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con-	ndant will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United St	fendant is committed to the custody of cility separate, to the extent practical of endant shall be afforded a reasonable tates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General or his, le, from persons awaiting or se le opportunity for private cons the Government, the person in	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS O deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of th	is detention order be filed with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS FI Services suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considure the District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE	ED this 16 th day of October, 2	2008.		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge